

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JOHN ODOMS,)	3:09-CV-0223-RCJ (VPC)
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u>
)	
vs.)	February 15, 2011
)	
HOWARD SKOLNIK, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. COOKE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: LISA MANN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Plaintiff has filed a motion to compel discovery (#28), and defendants have opposed the motion (#29). Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery appears to the court to be a written request for discovery to defendants. Pursuant to Local Rule 26-8, discovery-related papers are not filed unless ordered by the court. Furthermore, no scheduling order has been issued in this case because a motion to dismiss is pending (#30). Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to compel discovery (#28) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk